# **Rotor Documentation**

Release 0.6.3

**Paul Colomiets** 

March 21, 2016

#### Contents

1	Loop Initialization         1.1       Overview         1.2       Adding State Machines	<b>3</b> 3 3
2	Implementing State Machines	5
	2.1   Boilerplate	5
3	Ecosystem	7
	3.1 Libraries	7
	3.2 Applications	7
	3.3 Other	7
4	Glossary	9
5	Indices and tables	11

This is work in progress book about writing applications in "rotor". There are also Api Docs. Contents:

**Loop Initialization** 

#### **1.1 Overview**

Loop initialization has two stages. First is created by:

```
let loop_creator = try!(rotor::Loop::new());
```

And the second is created by:

let loop\_instance = loop\_creator.instantiate(context)

Then you can run the loop:

```
try!(loop_instance.run());
```

As you can see the loop\_creator.instantiate(..) takes a context for the instantiation. This *is* the **key difference** between two stages.

There is a shortcut if you want to skip second stage of initialization:

```
let loop_creator = try!(rotor::Loop::new());
try!(loop_creator.run(context));
```

## **1.2 Adding State Machines**

To have something useful of main loop you need to add a state machine to it. State machine initialization is done via add\_machine\_with method:

```
try!(loop_creator.add_machine_with(|scope| {
    Ok(Tcp::new(addr, scope))
}));
```

And in loop instance there is similar method:

```
try!(loop_instance.add_machine_with(|scope| {
    Ok(Tcp::new(addr, scope))
}));
```

The difference is in the signature of the function:

```
impl Loop {
    fn add_machine_with<F>(&mut self, fun: F)
        -> Result<(), SpawnError<()>>
        where F: FnOnce(&mut EarlyScope) -> Result<M, Box<Error>>;
}
impl LoopInstance {
    fn add_machine_with<F>(&mut self, fun: F)
        -> Result<(), SpawnError<()>>
        where F: FnOnce(&mut Scope<C>) -> Result<M, Box<Error>>;
```

As you can see the only difference is that loop creator gets EarlyScope as an argument and latter gets Scope<Context> as an argument:

- 1. Both have GenericScope implementation, so you can have constructors generic over the scope type
- 2. Scope dereferences to the context while EarlyScope does not

*Thats it.* But in reality it's important. For example, rotor-dns creates a pair: a state machine and a resolver object. State machine is just added to a loop, but you may want to put resolver object to a context. For example:

```
extern crate rotor_dns;
let resolver_opt = None;
try!(loop_creator.add_machine_with(|scope| {
    let (res, fsm) = try!(rotor_dns::create_resolver(scope, cfg));
    resolver_opt = Some(res);
    Ok(fsm)
}));
let resolver = resolver_opt.unwrap();
let mut loop_instance = loop_creator.instantiate(Context {
    dns: resolver,
});
loop_instance.add_machine_with(..)
```

With rotor-tools the code is simplified to:

```
extern crate rotor_dns;
extern crate rotor_tools;
use rotor_tools::LoopExt; // The trait with helper functions
let resolver = try!(loop_creator.add_and_fetch(|scope| {
    rotor_dns::create_resolver(scope, cfg)
}));
let mut loop_instance = loop_creator.instantiate(Context {
    dns: resolver,
});
loop_instance.add_machine_with(..)
```

#### Implementing State Machines

This guide is for **authors** of the protocols, *not* for **users** of the protocols. Read it if you want to write an **new** protocol implementation on top of raw rotor::Machine. Otherwise consult on your protocol documenation (probably good links are in *Ecosystem*)

## 2.1 Boilerplate

This is just a blanket stub implementation I usually start with, filling in methods one by one:

```
extern crate rotor;
use rotor::{Machine, EventSet, Scope, Response};
use rotor::void::{unreachable, Void};
impl<C> Machine for Fsm<C> {
   type Context = C;
   type Seed = Void;
    fn create(seed: Self::Seed, _scope: &mut Scope<C>)
        -> Response<Self, Void>
    {
        unreachable(seed)
    }
    fn ready(self, _events: EventSet, _scope: &mut Scope<C>)
        -> Response<Self, Self::Seed>
    {
        unimplemented!();
    }
    fn spawned(self, _scope: &mut Scope<C>) -> Response<Self, Self::Seed>
    {
        unimplemented!();
    }
    fn timeout(self, _scope: &mut Scope<C>) -> Response<Self, Self::Seed>
    {
        unimplemented!();
    l
    fn wakeup(self, _scope: &mut Scope<C>) -> Response<Self, Self::Seed>
    {
        unimplemented!();
    }
```

There are two intricate things here:

1. We use void crate and void::Void type to denote that seed can't be created so create method is never called

Keep the type void unless your machine spawns new state machines. And in the latter case it's advised to use some abstraction for state machine spawning. There is an rotor\_stream::Accept for accepting sockets, more to come.

2. Implementation should almost always use generic context (impl<C>) as only end application should know the exact layout of a context.

You may limit the generic with some traits (impl<C: HttpContext>).

Often, your state machine doesn't rely on context at all. Currently, this requires adding a PhantomData<\*const C> marker to state machine. The marker\_ is zero-sized, so it just a little bit of boring code.

#### **Ecosystem**

#### 3.1 Libraries

- rotor-tools a collection of small convenience utilities
- rotor-test a collection of utilities for writing unit tests
- rotor-stream an abstraction for writing protocols which use TCP or Unix stream sockets
- rotor-carbon implementation of the carbon protocol (more known as graphite)
- rotor-dns DNS support for rotor
- rotor-http HTTP server and client implementation
- hyper (mio-rotor branch) the implementation fo HTTP protocol added to hyper itself

## 3.2 Applications

- Kinglet a HTTP server
- basic-http-server also a HTTP server

## 3.3 Other

• stator – a wrapper around foreign function interface (FFI) for various rotor libraries that allows dispatching them from scripting languages; thus offloading asynchronous and protocol parsing work to rotor that is put in separate thread; so rust code is running in parallel to the scripting language interpreter.

### Glossary

**state machine** You probably know the theory. In this docs when we refer to state machine we refer to a type (most of the time the enum) that implements some trait designed according to the rules below. There is some introductory article about why state machines are designed that way.

State machine implements at least abstract rotor::Machine trait. But there are also more state machine traits that are more concrete.

Rules: TBD

**child state machine** Often one state machine calls an action from another state machine. The one that calls actions is a **parent**. The one that receives actions is called **child**. The parent state machine usually also owns the child state machine (means that when parent is shut down, the all the children too).

There might be multiple child state machines when the protocol allows multiple underlying requests/substreams/whatever to be mixed and used simultaneously

parent state machine See child state machine

CHAPTER 5

Indices and tables

• genindex

• search