Factory Djoy Documentation

Release 1.0.2

James Cooke

Contents

1	Facto	ory Djoy	•
	1.1	Resources	:
	1.2	Contents	,

Contents 1

2 Contents

CHAPTER 1

Factory Djoy

Simple wrappers around Factory Boy for Django which call full_clean when creating instances to ensure that only valid data enters your Django database.

Compatible with:

- Django 1.11.
- Python 2.7, 3.5 and 3.6.
- Factory Boy version 2.7 or greater.

1.1 Resources

- Documentation on ReadTheDocs
- Package on PyPI
- Source code on GitHub
- · Licensed on MIT
- Changelog

1.2 Contents

1.2.1 Installation and Quick Start

Installation

Easiest is to install with pip:

pip install factory_djoy

Quick Start

Factory Djoy provides a UserFactory out of the box which will build valid instances of the default Django contrib auth User model.

```
from factory_djoy import UserFactory
user = UserFactory()
```

For any other Django models that you would like valid instances for, create your factory by inheriting from CleanModelFactory.

```
from factory_djoy import CleanModelFactory

from project.app.models import Item

class ItemFactory(CleanModelFactory):
    class Meta:
        model = Item
```

1.2.2 UserFactory

```
from factory_djoy import UserFactory
```

UserFactory provides valid instances of the default Django user at django.contrib.auth.User. By default it creates valid users with unique usernames, random email addresses and populated first and last names.

```
>>> user = UserFactory()
>>> user.username
'cmcmahon'
>>> user.email
'amandajones@example.com'
>>> user.first_name + ' ' + user.last_name
'Tiffany Hoffman'
```

Just as with factory_boy, the API can be used to create instances with specific data when required:

```
>>> user = UserFactory(first_name='René')
>>> user.first_name
'René'
```

Passwords

In order to be able to use the generated User's password, then pass one to the factory at generation time, otherwise the randomly generated password will be hashed and lost. The UserFactory correctly uses set_password to set the password for the created User instance.

```
>>> from django.test import Client
>>> user = UserFactory(password='test')
>>> assert Client().login(username=user.username, password='test')
```

If you want a User that can not log in, then pass None as the password.

```
>>> user = UserFactory(password=None)
>>> user.has_usable_password()
False
```

Unique usernames

The factory will try up to 200 times per instance to generate a unique username.

As a result of the limitations around saving Users to the database in Django, these unique usernames are generated with a 3 step process:

- Ask faker for a random username. Compare it to those generated already and use it if its unique.
- Ensure that the picked username is not already in the Django database.
- Create instance with planned unique username and check the generated instance with a call to full_clean. This means that if anything has gone wrong during generation, then a ValidationError will be raised. This also means that values that might be passed into the Factory are tested for validity:

```
>>> UserFactory(username='user name')
Traceback (most recent call last):
...
ValidationError: {'username': ['Enter a valid username. This value may contain_
only letters, numbers and @/./+/-/_ characters.']}
```

Invalid data

If you need to create invalid data in your tests, then the build strategy is available:

1.2.3 CleanModelFactory

This is a generic wrapper for <code>DjangoModelFactory</code>. It provides all the functionality of <code>DjangoModelFactory</code> but extends <code>create</code> to call <code>full_clean</code> at post-generation time. This validation ensures that your test factories only create instances that meet your models' field level and model level validation requirements - this leads to better tests.

Example

Given a very simple model called Item which has one name field that is required and has a max length of 5 characters:

```
class Item(Model):
    """
    Single Item with one required field 'name'
    """
    name = CharField(max_length=5, unique=True)
```

Then we can create a clean factory for it using CleanModelFactory:

```
from factory_djoy import CleanModelFactory
from yourapp.models import Item

class SimpleItemFactory(CleanModelFactory):
    class Meta:
        model = Item
```

Now we haven't defined any default value for the name field, so if we use this factory with no keyword arguments then ValidationError is raised:

```
>>> SimpleItemFactory()
Traceback (most recent call last):
...
django.core.exceptions.ValidationError: {'name': ['This field cannot be blank.']}
```

However, if we pass a valid name, then everything works OK:

```
>>> SimpleItemFactory(name='tap')
```

Automatically generating values

The point of using CleanModelFactory is not to make testing harder because lots of keyword arguments are needed for each factory call, instead it should be easier and more reliable. Really the work with SimpleItemFactory above is not complete.

Now we replace SimpleItemFactory with a new ItemFactory that generates the required name field by default. We're going to use factory_boy's Fuzzy attributes to generate random default values each time the model is instantiated and because full_clean is called every time an instance is created, we will know that every instance passed validation.

```
from factory.fuzzy import FuzzyText
from factory_djoy import CleanModelFactory

class ItemFactory(CleanModelFactory):
    class Meta:
        model = Item

name = FuzzyText(length=5)
```

Now we can happily generate multiple instances of Item leaving the factory to create random names for us.

```
>>> item = ItemFactory()
>>> item.name
'TCEBK'
```

Alternatively, if you wanted all your created Item instances to have the name value for name each time, you can just set that in the factory declaration.

```
class FixedItemFactory(CleanModelFactory):
    class Meta:
        model = Item

name = 'thing'
```

However, in this instance, you will receive ValidationErrors because name is expected to be unique.

full_clean is triggered only with the create strategy. Therefore using build followed by save can provide a way to emulate "bad" data in your Django database if that's required. In this example, we can create an Item instance without a name.

```
>>> item = FixedItemFactory.build(name='')
>>> item.save()
>>> assert item.id
```

After saving successfully, if full_clean is called then the saved Item will fail validation because it does not have a name:

```
>>> item.full_clean()
Traceback (most recent call last):
...
django.core.exceptions.ValidationError: {'name': ['This field cannot be blank.']}
```

Side notes

- The ItemFactory example above is used in testing factory_djoy. The models.py can be found in test framework and the tests can be found in the tests folder.
- CleanModelFactory does not provide any get_or_create behaviour.

1.2.4 Motivation

Testing first

Primarily the goal of factories is to provide "easy to generate" data at test time - however this data must be 100% reliable, otherwise it's too easy to create false positive and false negative test results. By calling full_clean on every Django instance that is built, this helps to create certainty in the data used by tests - the instances will be valid as if they were created through the default Django admin.

Therefore, since it's so important that each factory creates valid data, these wrappers are tested rigorously using Django projects configured in the test_framework folder.

See also

- django-factory_boy which implements more factories for Django's stock models, but doesn't validate generated instances and has less tests.
- Django Factory Audit which compares every Django factory library I could find with respect to how they create
 valid instances.
- Django's model save vs full_clean for an explanation of how Django can screw up your data when saving.

1.2.5 Contribution

- Please see GitHub Issues. There are a number of outstanding tasks.
- Please ensure that any provided code:
 - Has been developed with "test first" process.
 - Can be auto-merged in GitHub.
 - Passes testing on Circle CI.
- Check out the development documentation for info on how to build, test and upload.

1.2.6 Development and Testing

How to work on and test Factory Djoy, across different versions of Django.

Quick start

The test framework is already in place and tox is configured to make use of it. Therefore the quickest way to get going is to make use of it.

Clone the repository and drop in:

```
$ git clone git@github.com:jamescooke/factory_djoy.git
$ cd factory_djoy
```

Create a virtual environment. This uses a bare call to virtualenv, you might prefer to use workon:

```
$ make venv
```

Activate the virtual environment and install requirements:

```
$ . venv/bin/activate
$ make install
```

Run all tests using tox for all versions of Python and Django:

```
$ make test
```

Circle will also run linting before the main test run:

```
$ make lint
```

Testing with real Django projects

factory_djoy is asserted to work with different vanilla versions of Django. For each version of Django tested, a default project and app exist in the test_framework folder.

This structure has the following features:

- test_framework contains a folder for each version of Django. For example, the Django 1.11 project is in the test_framework/django111 folder.
- Every project is created with the default django-admin startproject command.
- In every project, a test settings file contains all the default settings as installed by Django, but adds the djoyapp app to the list of INSTALLED APPS.
- Every djoyapp contains a models.py and provides some models used for testing.
- Initial migrations for the models also exist, created using the matching version of Django using the default ./manage.py makemigrations command.
- Every project has a tests folder wired into the Django project root. This contains the tests that assert that factory_djoy behaves as expected.
- Every project's tests are executed through the default ./manage.py test command.

Versioning notes

- tox is used to manage versions of Python and Django installed at test time.
- The latest point release from each major Django version is used, excluding versions that are no longer supported.
- The current version of Django in use at testing is compiled into each django*.txt file using pip-compile invoked by make requirements in the test_framework folder.
- To push all versions in the test_framework forwards:

```
$ cd test_framework/requirements
$ rm *.txt
$ make all
```

Creating Django test projects for Django version

In order to add a version of Django to the test run:

• Install the new version of Django into the current virtual environment:

```
$ pip install -U django
```

Ask the new version of Django to create projects and all test_framework structures:

```
$ cd test_framework
$ make build
```

Please note that creating a Django test project will fail if the target folder already exists. All django* folders can be removed with make clean - they can be rebuilt again identically with the build recipe.

• Add a requirements file for the new version of Django. For version 1.11:

```
$ cd test_framework/requirements
$ cat > django111.in
Django>=1.11,<2^D
$ make all
```

- Add the new Django version to tox.ini. (There's probably a better DRYer way to complete this.)
- Remember to add the new Django version to the README and do a release.

Working locally

If there are multiple tests to run this can become inefficient with tox. Therefore, you can use the helper local environment configured inside test_framework. This installs Python 3.5 and latest Django.

Create a new virtual environment in the test framework folder and install the requirements:

```
$ cd test_framework
$ make venv
$ . venv/bin/activate
$ make install
```

The test framework means that all the tests can be run on the test models and factories using the standard manage.py test command. So, if working with Django 1.10, after calling make build to create the app and folder structure for that Django version, then all tests can be run with:

```
$ make test
```

Release process

Decide the new version number. Semantic versioning is used and it will look like 1.2.3.

- In a Pull Request for the release:
 - Update RELEASE_NOTES.rst with changes.
 - Set version number in factory_djoy/__about__.py
 - Ensure Pull Request is GREEN, then merge.
- With the newly merged master:
 - Run tests locally:

```
$ make lint test
```

- Clean out any old distributions and make new ones:

```
$ make clean dist
```

Test upload with Test PyPI and follow it with an install direct from Test PyPI (might need to create a ~/.pypirc file with settings for the test server:

```
$ make test-upload

$ deactive
$ virtualenv /tmp/tenv
$ . /tmp/tenv/bin/activate
$ test-install
```

- Tag release branch and push it:

```
$ git tag v1.2.3
$ git push origin --tags
```

- Upload to PyPI:

```
$ make upload
```

All done.

Post release:

• Ensure that link in release notes to the new diff works OK on GitHub.

Helper recipes

The root Makefile has a couple of helper recipes (note this is different to the Makefile in test_settings):

- dist: Creates the distribution files.
- upload: Push generated distribution to PyPI.
- requirements: User pip-compile to compile all requirements.
- clean: Remove all compiled Python files, distributions, etc.